REMARKS

In item number 3 on page 2 of the Office Action, claims 25-34 were rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over the claims of U.S. Pat. No. 5.182.208.

The rejection is traversed for the following reasons.

Throughout the prosecution in the predecessor applications from which benefit is claimed for the instant application, including the first-filed application in the family, claims directed to a method of making a yeast with enhanced astaxanthin content and to a yeast with enhanced astaxanthin content were presented.

All of the Restriction Requirements in the family separated the method of making claims from yeast claims.

Attached hereto are copies of pending claims 1-27. Pages 10724-10726 depict claims 1-19 as filed, with process of making claims 1-9 and 18-19, and yeast product claims 10-13. Claims 20-27 were introduced by Preliminary Amendment, pages 10997–11005, and include additional method of making claims 21-27.

Also attached hereto is a copy of the Restriction Requirement for U.S. Serial No. 07/399,183 which matured into U.S. Patent No. 5,182,208, the patent on which the rejection is based, pages I1030-I1043. There it can be seen on page I1031 that the method of making claims 1-9 and 21-27 were restricted from yeast claims 10-13.

Finally, attached hereto is a copy of the Reply to the Restriction Requirement pages I1044–I1046, wherein method of making claims 1-9 and 21-27 were elected without traverse.

The pending claims derive for original claims 10-13 and relate to yeast. Those claims were restricted pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and thus are immune from an obviousness-type double-patenting over a family member application or patent containing claims of another group of claims identified in the Restriction Requirement.

The instant claims are yeast claims, were divided in response to a Restriction Requirement and, accordingly, are subject to 35 U.S.C. 121.

Hence, the obviousness-type double patenting rejection is improper and must be withdrawn.

745048/D/1 2

U.S. Application No. 08/458,019 April 12, 2006 Page 3 of 3

CONCLUSION

The claims are in condition for allowance and early indication thereof is requested respectfully.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL/BOYD & L'20YD LLC

Dean H. Nakamura Reg. No. 33,981 Customer No. 29180

Dated: 12 April 2006

745048/D/1 3

We claim:

A process for the production of a yeast having an enhanced astaxanthin content, comprising culturing in a nutrient medium containing an antibiotic, cytochrome B inhibitor, or a terpenoid synthetic pathway inhibitor a microorganism of genus <u>Phatfia</u>.

- 2. A process as set forth in claim ... wherein the antibiotic is selected from the group consisting of antimycin, tunicamycin, and nystatin.
- 3. A process as set forth in claim to wherein said cytochrome B inhibitor is selected from the group consisting of antimydin and 2-n-heptyl-4-hydroxy-quinoline-N-oxide.
- 4. A process as in claim 1, wherein the terpenoid synthetic pathway inhibitor is mevalonic acidlactone.
- 5. A process as set forth in claim (), wherein the antibiotic or terpenoid synthetic pathway inhibitor concentration in the medium is between 1 and 100 uM.
- 6. A process as in claim 1 wherein the antibiotic or terpenoid synthetic pathway inhibitor concentration in the medium is between 30 and 80 µM.
- 7. A process as in claim wherein the microorganism of genus <u>Phaffia</u> is subject to mutagenesis either before, after, or before and after morphological selection.

8. A process as in claim (1, amploying as said yeast P. rhodozyma ATCC 24230 or ATCC 24202.

9. A process as in claim wherein the astaxand the in harvested yeast is 1000 ppm or more based on day weight of yeast cells.

A yeast having the identifying characteristics of Phaffia, said yeast having been obtained by at least one step of morphological selection of naturally occurring Phaffia or of a mutant of naturally occurring Phaffia quitured using a medium containing an antibiotic selection agent or a terpenoid synthetic pathway inhibitor.

Phaffia

The mutant Heard of Claim 28,
11. A yeast as in claim 19. Further characterized
y increased sepsitivity to antimycin.

12. A year and claim 10 further characterized by increased semperity to thenoyltrifluoroacetone.

The number of them is further characterized in lacking the ability o grow on ethanol.

A process for increasing the pigmentation of the flesh of salmonids which comprises feeding said salmonids a yeast of claim 1d in disrupted form in sufficient amount to increase the pigmentation of said salmonids.

15. The process of claim 14, wherein said salmonid is salmon

80

6. C

16. The process of claim 14 wherein said salmonid is trout.

17. A food supplement comprising the yeast of claim 10 in distupted form.

to A process for in vivo production of astaxathin, comprising culturing one or more times in a nutrient medium containing an antiblotic, a cytochrome B inhibitor, or a terpenoid synthetic pathway inhibitor a microorganism of genus Phaffia, cultivating surviving microorganisms exhibiting enhanced pigmentation, harvesting the cultivated yeast, and extracting the astaxathin.

19. A process as in claim W further comprising subjecting said microorganism of genus Phaffia to at least one mutation either before or after one of said culturings in said nutrient medium containing an antibiotic, cytochrome B inhibitor, or terpenoid synthetic pathway inhibitor.

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ERIC A. JOHNSON, DAVID SCHREIBER, KOWK P. HO, WILLIAM T. HALL, HUEI-HSIUNG YANG and BERIL GELDIAY-TUNCER

CIP of Application No. : 07/229.536

Group Art Unit: 185

Filed

August 8, 1988

For

PROCESSES FOR IN VIVO PRODUCTION OF ASTAXANTHIN AND PHAFFIA RHODOZYMA YEAST OF ENHANCED ASTAXANTHIN CONTENT

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Prior to consideration on the merits, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

IN THE SPECIFICATION

Page 15, line 9, after "to" insert -- growth in the presence of a metabolic pathway inhibitor, particularly a main respiratory pathway inhibitor, in the presence of an influence such as an agent or environmental condition which triggers a secondary respiratory pathway, or y and

line 10, after "using" insert -) a selecting agent, and

particularly -- .

Ý

Page 32, after the first paragraph, insert

Enhancement of Astaxanthin Biosynthesis

It has also been found that when antimycin or another inhibitor of the main respiratory chain are added to Phaffia rhodozyma cells, and the cells are exposed to light, the astaxanthin content of the yeast is considerably enhanced. The underlying mechanism for this phenomena is not understood, but it could be hypothesized that when the primary respiratory pathway is inhibited, light acts as one of the triggers of a secondary respiratory (oxidative) pathway, having a net effect of considerably stimulating the production of astaxanthin. Thus, the present invention comprises processes for increasing the astaxanthin or other carotenoid content of yeast, comprising growing the yeast in the presence of a metabolic pathway inhibitor while inducing a secondary respiratory pathway. The secondary respiratory pathway may be induced by such influences as light, certain environmental conditions such as those known to cause stress, nutrients, etc. The present invention is, however, not limited by the above hypothesis.

It will be seen from the experiments discussed below that enhanced astaxanthin biosynthesis can be induced by the above mentioned combination of respiratory chain inhibitor with initiation of the secondary respiratory channel.

Example 9

di

E. rhodozyma strains used were the natural isolate UCD-ESTF)

67-385 (Phaff et al., 1972; Miller et al., 1976), mutant Ant-1-4

used above, and strain 18-13-6, an astaxanthin enhanced mutant

obtained by ethylmethane sulfonate (EMS) mutagenesis procedures

(isolated on YM agar). They were grown in yeast extract/malt a

extract/peptone/glucose medium (YM medium, Difco Co., Detroit,

MI) as previously described (An et al., 1989) in a temperature

controlled incubator/shaker (Environ-Shaker Model 3597, Lab-Line

Instruments, Inc., Melrose Fark, IL). Growth was determined by

the optical density (660 nm) of a washed cell suspension; 1 mg

dry cell weight per ml corresponds to an O.D. of 1.35.

Light was supplied by two Sylvania 20 watt Coolwhite fluorescent tubes held 20-40 cm from the flask media surfaces. Fixe, Agar-media (10 cm diameter) were inoculated with approximately 10^2-10^6 cells of actively growing yeast. For dark controls, flasks were wrapped in aluminum foil. When insoluble chemicals were included in the media, they were first dissolved in a small quantity of ethanol (0.3% final conc.), which did not affect yeast growth or pigmentation.

EAJ 7)14/8

- 3 -

Carotenoid Extraction and Analysis

P. rhodozyma was grown for 5 days in flasks before extraction. Yeasts were harvested from liquid media by centrifugation.
The yeast cells were suspended in distilled water, washed in
water, and extracted and analyzed for carotenoids by thin-layer
chromatography and absorption spectroscopy as previously
described (An et al., 1989).

)./

Secondary Respiratory Pathway Induction Increases Carotenoid Production

The influence of two 20 watt fluorescent bulbs placed 20 cm from the surface of <u>P. rhodozyma</u> natural isolate, UCD-FST-67-385, and its antimycin-sensitive mutants, Ant 1-4 and 18-13-6 was studied.

Separate cultures were grown under conditions of (a) total darkness for 30 hours (hereafter "dark"), (b) total darkness with 0.2 µM antimycin (antimycin being introduced at inoculation) (hereafter "dark/antimycin"), and under conditions (c) and (d) which were identical to (a) and (b) except for exposure of the cultures to light from approximately 30 hours into the experiment until approximately 60 hours into the experiment (hereafter "light" and "light/antimycin").

Extraction of the pigments and characterization indicated that the pigments were qualitatively similar in composition, except that more cis-astaxanthin and lower carotene concentrations were found to be present in light grown cells.

Analysis of yeasts showed that the carotenoid content of light/antimycin <u>P</u>. thodozyma_UCD-FST-67-385 increased by two-fold over any of the dark, dark/antimycin or light cultures of this natural isolate (Table 1). Table 2 shows that mutant 18-13-6, which is antimycin sensitive, produced two-fold increase in carotenoid content in antimycin/light over the light culture.

Table 1

Conditions	Growth (mg/mi)	Carotenoid (µg/g y)
Dark	4.4	520
Dark + Ant	1.6	480
Light	3.3	440
Light + Ant	2.2	1000

Table 2

Antimycin	Not added	Added	
Light	Carotenoid Content (µg	Carotenoid Content (µg carotenoids/g yeast)	
White	. 540	1410	The state of
Blue	1050	1360	
Red	960	1210	
Dark	830	1013	

As can be seen from the above results, growth and carotenoid formation of natural and astaxanthin enhanced P. rhodozyma is clearly influenced by light. The natural isolate, UCD-FST-67-) 385, and its antimycin-sensitive mutants ant-1-4 and 18-13-6, each grew better and had increased pigmentation in the dark, but were differently affected by light. --



I 1002

IN THE CLAIMS

Please add the following claims:

120. A process for increasing the pigmentation of the skin; flesh or egg yolk of fowl comprising feeding said fowl a yeast of claim 10 in disrupted form in sufficient amount to increase the pigmentation of said skin, flesh or egg yolk of said fowl.

21. A process for the production of a yeast having an enhanced astaxanthin content comprising subjecting said yeast to growth in the presence of a metabolic pathway inhibitor under an influence which triggers a secondary respiratory (oxidative) pathway.

22. A process as in claim 22, wherein said metabolic pathway inhibitor is a main reprirator pathway inhibitor.

A process as in claim 227 wherein the main respiratory pathway inhibitor is present in an amount of from 0.1 to 1.0 µM.

24. A process we in claim 22, wherein the main respiratory pathway inhibitor is shrimking.

A process as in claim 21, wherein said influence which triggers a secondary respiratory pathway is light.

26. A process for the production of a yeast having an enhanced astaxanthin chientry tomprising subjecting yeast obtained according to claim 1 to prowth in the presence of a main respiratory pathway inhibitor and in the presence of an agent or under the influence of ap environmental condition which triggers a secondary respiratory (oxidative) pathway.

27. A process for the production of a yeast of genus P. rhodoxyma having an enhanced sataxanthin content comprising inducing an alternative oxclase system by means of growing the yeast in the presence of a main respiratory pathway inhibitor and in the presence of an agent or under the influence of an environmental condition which thiggers a secondary respiratory pathway. --

REMARKS

Entry of the above prior to consideration on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted

Stephan A. Pendorf Reg. No. 32,665

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037 (202) 293-7060

Dated: August 23, 1989



FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

SERIAL NUMBER

FILING DATE

UNITED STATLS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PAYENTS AND TRACEMARKS Washington, O.C. 20231

I.	SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
	07/399	,183 08/2	3/89 JOHNSON.	E A54111		
			4	EXAMINER LTL. ING; H		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MAC PEAK & SEAS 2100 PENN., AVE., N.W.			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
٠	WASHI	NGTON, DC 2	0037	188 /Z DATE MAILED: 06/19/91		
fr Co	is is a ospinunkation fo DMMISSIGNER OF PAT	om the examinar in charge ENTS AND TRACEMARK	of your application. S	DATE MAILEU!		
4	R. +1.					
ו (20	his application has be	en exemined	10-27 tesponsive to communication flied on \$73			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire						
			RE PART OF THIS ACTION:	1 35 0.3.0. 133		
1. 3. 5.	Notice of Art C	ences Cited by Examin ited by Applicant, PTO- How to Effect Orawing	14494. Notice	re Petent Drewing, PTO-948. of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152		
Pert I	I SUMMARY OF A	ICTION				
1.	Cleims	-27		are pending in the application.		
	Of the el	bove, claims	1+1	ere withdrawn from consideration.		
2	_			heve been cancelled.		
3.	. U Claims					
4						
. 6	Cleims \-2	~		are objected to. re subject to restriction or election requirement.		
7.			ormal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.65 which are			
8.	_		nse to this Office ection.	papera.		
g.	The corrected o	r substitute drewings h able; 🔲 not acceptabl	ave been received on e (see explanation or Notice re Petent Drawing	PTO-948). Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings		
10.	The proposed a examiner;	additional or substitute a disapproved by the axes	shaet(s) ofidrawings, filed on miner (see explanation).	has (heve) been 🔲 approved by the		
11.	☐ The proposed d	rawing correction, filed	has been [] appro	ved; disepproved (see explanation).		
12.	Acknowledgeme	int is made of the claim parent application, seri	lor priority under- U.S.C. 119. The certified co	py has been received not been received		
13.	Since this applic accordance with	ation apppears to be in the prectice under Ex	condition for allowence except for formal matt perts Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ars, prosecution as to the merits is closed in		
14.	Other					

PTOL-326 (Rev.9-89)

Serial Number 07/399,183 Art Unit 188

2

- 15. Receipt is acknowledged of the prior art disclosure statement filed October 7, 1988 in the parent application and amendments filed August 23, 1989.
- 16. Claims 1-27 are present in the instant application.
- 17. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-9 and 21-27, drawn to a process for the production of a yeast having an enhanced astaxanthin content, classified in Class 435, subclass 921.
- II. Claims 10-13, drawn to a yeast having the identifying characteristics of <u>Phatfia</u>, classified in Class 435, subclass 255
- III. Claims 14-16 and 20 drawn to a process for increasing the pigmentation of the fleeh of salmonids or fowl, classified in Class 426, subclass one plus.
- IV. Claim 17, drawn to a food supplement comprising the yeast, classified in Class 426, subclass various.

 Claims 18-19, drawn to a process for in vivo production of astaxathin, classified in Class 435, subclass 118.

18. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different products or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product claims drawn to the yeast can be obtained from natural sources having the claimed properties.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be employed to increase the pigmentation of fovi.

Serial Number 07/399,183

-

Art Unit 188

Inventions II and IV are related as mutually exclusive species in intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful other than to make the final product (MPEP section 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentiably distinct (MPEP section 806.04(b)).

In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful for obtaining entiblotics and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious verients. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly adult on showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly adult on exeminer inde one of the inventions unpatentable owner the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 5183 of the other invention.

- 19. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the searches for the individual Groups are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 20. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Serial Number 07/399,183 Art Unit 188

i) Whereby the nutrient medium contains:

A. inhibitor

- 1. cytochrone B
- 2. terpenoid synthetic pathway

B. antibiotic

- a. antimycin
- b. tunicamycin
- c. nystatin.

ii) Whereby the yeast is

- x. P. rhodozyma ATCC 24230
- y. P. rhodozyma ATCC 24202.

iii) Whereby the increased astaxanthin is increased in:

- q. salmonids
- r. fowl

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 8, 10, 18 and 20 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonent with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809,02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious

variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.

21. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Applicant is requested to elect one of the Inventions I-V and to elect a species from i) A or B and/or ii) x or y as appropriate for the elected inventions. Applicant is requested to clearly indicate the claims resoluble on the elected inventions.

22. Applicant must abide by MPEP 608.01 PC rules pertaining to claiming of biological meterial. In all patent applications, Applicant must submit a declaration or affidavit meeting the following guidelines:

The declaration by applicant, assignee, or applicant's agent identifying a deposit of biological material and averring the following may be sufficient to overcome an objection or rejection based on a lack of availability of biological material.

- i. Identifies declarant.
- ii. States that a deposit of the material of the material of the deposit of the deposit and ready accessibility thereto by the public if a patent is granted. The depository is to be identified by name and address.
- iii. States that the deposited material has been accorded a specific (recited) accession number.
- iv. States that all restriction on availability to the public of the material so deposited vill be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent.
- v. States that the material has been deposited under conditions that assure that access to the material vill be available during the pendency of the

> patent application to one determined by the Commissioner to be entitled thereto under 37 CFR 1.14 and 35 USC 122.

- vi. States that the deposited material will be maintained with all the care necessary to keep it viable and uncontaminated for a period of at least five years after the most recent request for the furnishing of a sample of the deposited microorganism, and in any case for a period of at least thirty (30) years after the date of deposit or for the enforceable life of the patent, whichever period is longer.
- vii. That he/she declares further that all statements made therein of hie/her own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are

punishable by fine or imprisonment or hoth, under <u>Section 1881</u> of <u>Title 18</u> of the <u>United States Code</u> and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the instant patent application or any patent issuing thereon.

Alternatively, it may be averred that deposited material has been accepted for deposit under the Budapeat

Treaty on the International Recognition of the Deposit of Microrganisms for the Purposes of Patent Procedure (e.g. see S61 06 21, 1972) and that all restrictions on the availability to the public of the material so deposited will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent.

Additionally, the deposit must be referred to in the body of the specification and be identified by deposit (accession) number, name and address of the depository, and the Complete taxonomic description.

23. Applicant should note the following decisions which may be pertinent to the claimed language which may be extremely broad for the claimed yeast microorganism.



k. 217 USPQ 804 Ex parte Jackson

11

Sufficient information must be given in application so that one of ordinary skill in art can practice invention without necessity for undue experimentation

Description of several newly discovered strains of bacteria having one particularly desirable metabolic property in terms of conventionally measured culture characteristics and number of metabolic and physiological properties does not enable one of ordinary skill in relevant art to independently discover additional strains having same specific, desirable metabolic property, i.e., production of particular antibiotic; in other vords, verbal descriptio of new species does not enable one of ordinary skill in relevant art to obtain strains of that species over and above specific strains made available through deposit in recognized depositor.

Determination of what constitutes undus experimentation in given case requires application of standard of reasonableness, having due regard for nature of invention and state of art; test is not merely quantitative, since considerable amount of experimentation is permissible if it is merely routine, or if specification in question provides reasonable amount of guidance with respect to direction in which experimentation should proceed to enable determination of how to

11040

practice desired embodiment of invention claimed.

As clearly indicated in <u>Argoudelia</u> 58 CCPA 769, 434 F.2nd 1390, 168 USPQ 99 (1970), the degree of experimentation involved in locating new microorganisms apart from deposited cultures is undue in light of the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112.

The issue squarely raised by this rejection is whether or not a description of several newly discovered strains of bacteria having one particularly desirable metabolic property in terms of the conventionally measured oulture characteristics and a number of metabolic and physiological properties would enable one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to independently discover additional strains having the same specific, desirable metabolic property, i.e., the production of a particular antibiotic.

To state the issue somewhat differently, it is whether a verbal description of a new species would enable one of ordinery skill in the relevant art to obtain strains of that species over and above the specific strains made available through deposit in one of the recognized culture depositories.

The fact that appellants in this case have discovered and deposited three new strains whereas there was only one strain in the Argoudelis case is not seen to materially alter the situation. Discovery of a fourth strain in nature would be

just as non-enabled by the description of the three deposited strains in the present specification as was the discovery in nature of the single strain at issue in Argoudelis.

As clearly indicated in Argoudelis, the degree of experimentation involved. in locating new microorganisms apart from the deposited cultures is undue in light of the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112.

B. 230 USPO 546 Ex parte Forman et. al.

The determination of what constitutes undue experimentation in a given came requires the application of a standard of reasonableness, having due regard for the nature of the invention and the state of the art:...The factors to be considered have been summarized as

the quantity of experimentation necessary,

the amount of direction or guidance presented,
the presence or absence of vorking examples,
the nature of the invention,
the state of the prior art,
the relative skill of those in that art,
the predictability or unpredictability of the art

the breadth of the olaims.

Serial Number 07/399,183 Art Unit 188

14

24. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is (702) 308-2034. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

H. J. Lilling: HJL (703) 308-2034 Art Unit <u>188</u> June 18, 1991

HERBERT J. LILLING
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 180 - ART UNIT 188



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Applicants : JOHNSON et al. : 07/399,183

Application No.

August 23, 1989

Group Art Unit: 188

Examiner: Lilling, H.

For

: PROCESSES FOR IN VIVO PRODUCTION OF ASTAXANTHIN AND PHAFFIA RHODOZYMA YEAST OF ENHANCED ASTAXANTHIN CONTENT

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks Washington, DC 20031

CEIVED GROUP 18 JUL 0 2 1001

sir:

The following is responsive to the restriction requirement dated June 19, 1991, in the above-identified, for which the Examiner has set a one-month period for response.

In paragraphs 17 and 19 of the restriction requirement, the Examiner requires Applicants to elect one of the specified inventions for prosecution.

Applicants hereby elect invention I, claims 1-9 and 21-27, drawn to a process for the production of a yeast having an enhanced astaxanthin content, without traverse.

Under paragraph 20 of the restriction requirement, the Examiner requires Applicants to elect a single species for prosecution on the merits.

Applicants select:

- i) nutrient medium contains:
 - A. inhibitor
 - 1. cytochrome B

U.S. APPLICATION NO. 07/399,183 RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

B. antibiotic

- a. antimycin.
- ii) the yeast is
 - X. P. rhodozyma ATCC 24230.

Under paragraph 22 of the restriction requirement, the Examiner requires Applicants to submit a declaration or affidavir pertaining to depositing of the biological material.

In response, Applicants point out that, under M.P.E.P. 608.01 PC, it is indicated that "no problem exists when the microorganisms used are known and readily available to the public. When the invention depends on the use of a microorganism which is not so known and readily available, Applicants must take additional steps to comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112."

Applicants thus respond to paragraph 22 by urging that the yeast of genus Phaffia rhodozyma is known and readily available to the public, as evidenced by the ATCC deposition numbers listed in the specification, thus separate deposition by Applicants is not required.

Under paragraph 23 of the restriction requirement, the Examiner sets forth legal decisions which address patentability of microorganisms. U.S. APPLICATION NO. 07/399,183 RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

The Examiner has not applied these cases against the present application and they do not appear pertinent. Thus, no response to this paragraph is necessary.

Respectfully submitted

Stephan A. Pendor Reg No. 32,665

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20037 (202) 293-7060

Dated: June 28, 1991